

Example: Ten Measurements of a Length

A student makes 10 measurements of one length x and gets the results (all in mm)

46, 48, 44, 38, 45, 47, 58, 44, 45, 43.

Noticing that the value 58 seems anomalously large, he checks his records but can find no evidence that the result was caused by a mistake. He therefore applies Chauvenet's criterion. What does he conclude?

Accepting provisionally all 10 measurements, he computes

$$\bar{x} = 45.8 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_x = 5.1.$$

The difference between the suspect value $x_{\text{sus}} = 58$ and the mean $\bar{x} = 45.8$ is 12.2, or 2.4 standard deviations; that is,

$$t_{\text{sus}} = \frac{x_{\text{sus}} - \bar{x}}{\sigma_x} = \frac{58 - 45.8}{5.1} = 2.4.$$

Referring to the table in Appendix A, he sees that the probability that a measurement will differ from \bar{x} by $2.4\sigma_x$ or more is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}(\text{outside } 2.4\sigma) &= 1 - \text{Prob}(\text{within } 2.4\sigma) \\ &= 1 - 0.984 \\ &= 0.016. \end{aligned}$$

In 10 measurements, he would therefore expect to find only 0.16 of one measurement as deviant as his suspect result. Because 0.16 is less than the number 0.5 set by Chauvenet's criterion, he should at least consider rejecting the result.

If he decides to reject the suspect 58, then he must recalculate \bar{x} and σ_x as

$$\bar{x} = 44.4 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_x = 2.9.$$

As you would expect, his mean changes a bit, and his standard deviation drops appreciably.